

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,102	03/14/2001	Takashi Kimura	P107400-00026	1508
7590 10/08/2003 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
Washington, DC 20036-5339		, 000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2815	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		U				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/805,102	KIMURA, TAKASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this commission on	Joseph Nguyen	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 March 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/805,102

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suyama et al.

Regarding claim 1, Suyama et al discloses on **figure 9** a semiconductor laser comprising an n type clad layer 23; a p type clad layer 25; an active layer 24 sandwiched between by said n type clad layer and said p type clad layer; and a current constriction layer for current confinement and light confinement consisting of at least two layers 30, 31 which is disposed in either of said n type clad layer and said p type clad layer, wherein a first layer 30 of said current constriction layer closer to said active layer 24 has a different conductivity type from a conductivity type of either said clad layers in which said current constriction layer is provided and is made of a material having almost the same refractive index as said clad layer 25, the refractive index of said first layer being smaller than that of said active layer 24 (col. 11, lines 1-21), and

Application/Control Number: 09/805,102

Art Unit: 2815

wherein a second layer 31 of said current constriction layer farther from said active layer 24 is made of a material having a smaller refractive index than said first layer (col. 10-col. 11).

Note that the lower the Al more fraction is, the higher the refractive index is.

Regarding claim 2, Suyama et al discloses on **figure 9** said first layer 9 of said current constriction layer is formed to function mainly as a current confinement layer and said second layer 10 thereof is formed to function mainly as a light confinement layer and a width of stripe trench 7 for injecting current provided in said first layer 9 is smaller than a width of a stripe trench provided in said second layer 10.

Regarding claim 3, Suyama et al discloses on **figure 9** said stripe trench 7 is formed so as to have an inclined surface with respect to a width direction of said current constriction layer, so that a width of said stripe trench for injecting current provided in said first layer may be smaller than a width of said stripe trench provided in said second layer.

Regarding claim 4, Suyama et al discloses on **figure 9** said inclined surface of said first layer 9 has a smaller inclination angle than said second layer 10.

Regarding claim 5, Suyama et al discloses on **figure 9** the width of said stripe trench provided in said first layer 9 may be smaller than the width of said stripe trench provided in said second layer 10.

Note that the limitation "said stripe trench in said first layer and said stripe trench in said second layer are provided in different steps" is merely product by process and therefore not given patentable weight.

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for

regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

JN

August 26, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800